BEST AVAILABLE COPY

714 427 7799 2/15/2006 5:04 PM PAGE 34/035 Fax Server Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. Orange County

Patent 52478-3600

REMARKS

The Final Office Action indicated that the subject matter of dependent Claims 7, 12, 13 and 27 would be allowed if rewritten into independent form.

Accordingly, Claim 40 represents the indicated allowed subject matter of Claim 7. Claim 41 indicates the indicated allowed subject matter of Claim 12. Claim 42 indicates the indicated allowed subject matter of Claim 13. Claim 43 represents the indicated allowed subject matter of Claim 27.

As the Examiner is aware, the claims addressed in the responding Amendment included method claims, program claims and program recording medium claims.

After reviewing the allowed subject matter, applicant has provided the indicated allowed subject matter of dependent Claim 7 as a program recording method claim in Claim 44, a medium claim in Claim 45, and a program claim in Claim 46.

Applicant has also provided the indicated allowed subject matter of Claim 12 as a method claim in Claim 47, a program recording medium claim in Claim 48, and a program claim in Claim 49.

Applicant has provided the indicated allowed subject matter of Claim 13 as a method claim in Claim 50, a program recording medium claim in Claim 51, and a program claim in Claim 52.

Finally, applicant has provided the indicated allowed subject matter of Claim 27 as a method claim in Claim 53, a program recording medium claim in Claim 54, and a program claim in Claim 55.

Our presently pending claims, whether set forth as an apparatus, method, or a program recording medium, capable of having the broadcast apparatus operate by having a computer read the program recorded on the medium, or a program that has a computer conduct the steps set

32

BEST AVAILABLE C

714 427 7799 2/15/2006 5:04 PM Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. Orange County

> Patent 52478-3600

forth provide, in essence, the same allowable subject matter already indicated in the Final Office Action.

If the Examiner has any questions with regards to either the redrafted Claims 40-43 or Claims 44-55 modified from these allowed and redrafted claims, he can contact the undersigned attorney at the listed phone number.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being Very truly yours, transmitted via facsimile to the USPTO at 571-273-8300 on February 15, 2006.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Sharon Farnus By:

Signature

Dated: February 15, 2006

Registration No. 25,124

600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400 Costa Mesa, California 92626-7689

Telephone: (714) 427-7420 Facsimile: (714) 427-7799